Contribution ID: 29cbda05-ff14-400e-8236-cc5ca92a6d5e

Date: 30/04/2021 13:46:35

VAT rules for financial and insurance services today and tomorrow

Fields marked with * are mandatory.	
-------------------------------------	--

Introduction

Objective

Context

The current VAT rules for financial and insurance services are criticised for being **complex**, **difficult to** apply and for **not having kept pace with the developments of new products and services**. This seems to have led to a lack of **VAT neutrality**, **legal uncertainty** and **high administrative and regulatory costs**.

VAT is a tax levied on the consumption of goods and services within the European Union. It is a multi-stage tax calculated, and thus charged, on each stage of the value chain. Operating businesses pay the VAT due on their supplies at regular intervals. This is the VAT due on their outputs – the output VAT – after deducting the VAT on their inputs – the input VAT. The system of deduction ensures that the tax is neutral, with respect to the length of the supply chain and the number of transactions therein. However, where the output supply is exceptionally not taxed because it is exempt or out of the scope of the application of VAT, the right to deduct does not hold.

Main issues under the current rules

However, under the current rules, financial and insurance services constitute an exception to these principles: as listed in Article 135(1)(a)-(g) of the VAT Directive, most of them are exempt from VAT. The reasons behind the introduction of the exemption are multiple, but mostly related to the technical difficulty to calculate the tax amount. However, these rules were introduced in 1977 and have since become outdated.

Because of the exemption, the providers of financial and insurance services **cannot deduct the VAT incurred on inputs**, notably – but not exclusively – on investment goods, that are used to produce exempt outputs. This deprives the tax of its neutrality: unlike for other businesses, who can deduct it, VAT becomes a cost for providers of financial and insurance services, and, eventually, for their customers (as the so-called 'hidden VAT').

To address the problem of hidden VAT, the VAT Directive provides for a number of structural provisions:

- The option to tax allows providers of financial services to charge VAT on certain otherwise exempt services, and thus to increase the proportion of taxed turnover and the corresponding input deduction. It is, however, up to Member States to introduce such an option and it is not available to insurance service providers.
- More commonly, financial and insurance service providers make use of two other existing instruments to minimise irrecoverable (hidden) VAT: VAT groups and – until recently – costsharing arrangements.

Since financial and insurance service providers are usually part of large company groups or other networks, these two instruments, albeit being different from a legal perspective, allow them to centralise at group level common business functions (e.g. IT services, accountancy, regulatory compliance, back office support, tax advisory) without generating irrecoverable input VAT on intra-group charges. However, on the one hand, the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) in 2017 found cost-sharing arrangements used by financial and insurance operators inadmissible (see judgements 1, 2 and 3). On the other hand, the VAT grouping scheme is limited exclusively to operators established in the same Member State and is implemented (if at all) in various ways across the EU. This raises the question of how to address the problem of hidden VAT in this important economic sector.

Apart from the implications of this recent case law, the VAT treatment of financial and insurance services raises other problems. The current rules are believed to be **complex** and **difficult to apply in practice**, and possibly **have not kept pace with the developments of new services** in the financial industry (for example services linked to crypto-assets and e-money). This seems to have led to **increasing litigation before the CJEU**, **legal uncertainty** and **high administrative and regulatory costs**. Moreover, such rules are interpreted and applied inconsistently by Member States, which contributes to **distortions** within the EU and in exchanges with third countries.

The Commission proposed to review the rules on the VAT treatment of financial and insurance services already in 2007 through a legislative package that comprised <u>a proposal for a Council Directive</u> and <u>a proposal for a Council Implementing Regulation</u>. However, the discussions in the Council came to a standstill and the proposals were withdrawn in 2016.

Against this background, as announced in the Communication on an <u>Action Plan for fair and simple taxation supporting the recovery strategy</u>, the Commission is currently preparing a proposal to review the VAT rules for financial and insurance services. This initiative is part of the objective to simplify the life of taxpayers operating in the Single Market, one of the priorities laid down in the Political Guidelines for the present Commission.

Glossary

Terms used in this context:

- **Taxable amount**: the amount in respect of a taxable transaction upon which VAT is chargeable.
- Output VAT: the VAT due on taxable persons' supplies' or outputs.

- **Input VAT**: the VAT paid by taxable persons for supplies made to them with regard to their business activity.
- Hidden VAT: a consequence of the exemption; input VAT becomes irrecoverable and increases
 costs for service providers while being invisible to customers as not invoiced as such to them.
- VAT neutrality: one of the most important principles of the VAT system, ensuring that the VAT due
 by the final consumer is the same, regardless of the nature or length of the supply chain for
 producing it; VAT is collected fractionally via a system of partial payments whereby at each stage of
 the supply chain, the taxable person deducts input VAT paid from the output VAT collected.
- Option to tax under Article 137(1)(a) of the VAT Directive: an optional regime allowing financial service providers to consider otherwise exempt supplies as taxed.
- VAT grouping under Article 11 of the VAT Directive: a simplification measure that allows, if introduced by the Member State, groups of 'legally independent' persons 'closely bound to one another by financial, economic, and organisational links' to be treated as a single taxable person. Consequently, intra-group transactions become, from a VAT perspective, "intra-company" supplies and thus fall outside the scope of the tax and do not result in irrecoverable input VAT.
- Cost-sharing arrangements under Article 132(1)(f) of the VAT Directive: an exemption allowing amongst others providers of certain exempt services to form 'independent groups', to pool the acquisition of input supplies and re-distribute the costs, from the group to its members.
- Proportional (pro rata) deduction based on Article 173 et sec. of the VAT Directive: Member
 States may apply different methods to determine the input VAT that can be deducted in the case of a taxable person supplying taxed, exempt and out-of-scope services.
- **Fee-based taxation**: a method of calculation of the taxable amount based on the remuneration linked to financial and insurance services.

About you

Italian

* 1

	Lithuanian	
	Maltese Maltese	
	Polish	
	Portuguese	
	Romanian	
	Slovak	
	Slovenian	
	Spanish	
	Swedish	
*2	am giving my contribution as	
	Academic/research institution	
	Business association	
	Company/business organisation	
	Consumer organisation	
	EU citizen	
	Environmental organisation	
	Non-EU citizen	
	Non-governmental organisation (NGO)	
	Public authority	
	Trade union	
	Other	
*3	rst name	
	Serena	
*4	urname	
	Fanali	
* 5	mail (this won't be published)	
	serena.fanali@assogestioni.it	
*9	rganisation name	
	character(s) maximum	

Latvian

Assogestioni - Italian Investment Management Association

*10 Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

11 Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

89046007765-76

*12 Country of origin

Please add your country of o	rigin, or that of your organis	sation.	
Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
Aland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre and Miquelon
Albania	Dominican Republic	Lithuania	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
AmericanSamoa	Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and Príncipe
Angola	Equatorial Guinea	Malawi	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and Barbuda	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone

Armenia	Falkland Islands	MarshallIslands	Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	Solomon
			Islands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French	Micronesia	South Africa
	Polynesia		
Bangladesh	French	Moldova	South Georgia
	Southern and		and the South
	Antarctic Lands		Sandwich
			Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar	Svalbard and
		/Burma	Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and			
Saba	© Cuam	Nonel	O Cymin
Bosnia andHerzegovina	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory	Gamba Bioda	. Hodi agaa	. Hanaria
British Virgin	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Islands	•	Č	

	Brunei		Haiti		Nigeria		Timor-Leste
	Bulgaria	©	Heard Island and McDonald Islands	0	Niue	©	Togo
0	Burkina Faso	0	Honduras	0	Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
0	Burundi	0	Hong Kong	0	Northern	0	Tonga
	Darana		Tiong rong		Mariana Islands		Tonga
0	Cambodia	0	Hungary	0	North Korea	0	Trinidad and Tobago
0	Cameroon		Iceland		North		Tunisia
					Macedonia		
0	Canada		India		Norway		Turkey
	Cape Verde		Indonesia		Oman		Turkmenistan
0	Cayman Islands		Iran		Pakistan		Turks and
							Caicos Islands
0	Central African		Iraq		Palau		Tuvalu
	Republic						
	Chad		Ireland		Palestine		Uganda
0	Chile		Isle of Man		Panama		Ukraine
	China		Israel		Papua New		United Arab
					Guinea		Emirates
	Christmas	0	Italy		Paraguay		United
	Island						Kingdom
	Clipperton		Jamaica		Peru		United States
0	Cocos (Keeling)		Japan		Philippines		United States
	Islands						Minor Outlying
							Islands
	Colombia		Jersey		Pitcairn Islands		Uruguay
	Comoros		Jordan		Poland		US Virgin
							Islands
	Congo	0	Kazakhstan	0	Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
0	Cook Islands		Kenya		Puerto Rico		Vanuatu
0	Costa Rica		Kiribati		Qatar		Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire		Kosovo		Réunion	0	Venezuela
	Croatia		Kuwait		Romania		Vietnam

Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia	Wallis and
			Futuna
Curação	Laos	Rwanda	Western
			Sahara
Cyprus	Latvia	Saint	Yemen
		Barthélemy	
Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena	Zambia
		Ascension and	
		Tristan da	
		Cunha	
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and	Zimbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis	
Congo			
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia	

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*14 Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Your experience with the current rules

20 The exemption of financial and insurance services from VAT was introduced in 1977 as an exception to the general rule that VAT is to be levied on all services supplied for consideration by a taxable person. To what extent do you agree that the exemption is still needed?

Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
Not sure

21 In general, how would you assess the functioning of the exemption of financial and insurance services?

The exemption...

- ... works very well
- ... works well, but could be improved
- ... works poorly and should be improved
- … should be removed
- No opinion

22 Please indicate the reason(s) why.

The exemption...

Multiple answers possible

- ... is too costly to apply
- ... is too complex in terms of notions (structural provisions and the definition of exempted services)
- ... is not clear in terms of notions (structural provisions and the definition of exempted services)
- ... may have a distortive effect on competition with businesses in other Member States
- Other
- No opinion

23 Please indicate which other reason(s).

Several critical issues have been identified with respect to the current VAT rules for financial services. First, the list of exempt financial services is outdated and is not fit to cover the evolution of the regulatory framework and the development of new services. In particular, the current list of exempted services does not include investment advice and intermediation services.

Secondly, the VAT regime is too complex due to legal uncertainties regarding the definition of exempted services and the identification of services that form a specific and essential part of exempted services. This may lead to a distortive effect on competition.

Lastly, the 2017 CJEU decisions ("DNB Banka", C-326/15 and "Aviva", C-605/15) have excluded the financial sector from the benefit of VAT exemption on services supplied by cost sharing arrangements (article 132(1)(f) of the VAT Directive) and consequently have deprived it of an important instrument to minimise irrecoverable (hidden) VAT.

24 How do you estimate the impact of the lack of input tax deduction and hidden VAT?

	answ		

- They create a price barrier to outsourcing
- They undermine the level playing field between providers of outsourced services and in-house providers
- They affect the business structures of those operating in the financial and insurance sector
- They increase the costs for business customers
- They increase compliance costs
- They undermine the competitiveness of the sector
- Other
- Do not know

26 The compliance with VAT rules can be more difficult when supplying financial and/or insurance services cross-border. How do the factors listed below contribute to that effect?

	Not at all	Somewhat	To a large extent	No opinion
Difficulty of finding information on VAT obligations in other Member States	•	0	0	0
Different interpretations on definitions of exempted services	0	•	0	0
Different rules for opting to tax	0	0	•	0
Availability of VAT grouping	0	0	•	0

Availability of cost-sharing arrangements	0	•	0	0
Different deduction methods	0	0	0	•
Different VAT obligations in other Member States	•	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

28 Do you think that the current rules hinder the development of cross-borde	r
supplies of financial and insurance services?	

- Yes
- O No
- Do not know

29 Please indicate the reason(s) why.

Multiple answers possible

- Regulatory ecosystem too complex
- VAT rules for financial and insurance services too complex
- Discrepancies across VAT treatment by Member States
- Other

30 Please indicate which other reason(s).

Legal uncertainties regarding the definition of "special investment funds" (SIF) and the identification of services that constitute a specific and essential part of fund management hinder the development of cross-border supplies.

34 The exemption was put in place i.a. due to the technical difficulty to calculate the taxable amount. To what extent do you agree that progress in technology, enhanced transparency rules and experiences gained from other countries and from other indirect taxes could help overcome this issue?

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Do not know

35 Do the current VAT rules for financial and insurance services result in prices lower than those that would apply if these services were taxed?

- Yes, but just for final non-taxable customers
- Yes, for all customers
- In part, due to other similar taxes
- [◎] No
- Do not know

36 To what extent are the current structural provisions effective in increasing the deduction of input tax and reducing the impact of hidden VAT?

	Not effective at all	Somewhat ineffective	Neither effective nor ineffective	Somewhat effective	Very effective	No opinion
Option to tax	0	0	•	0	0	0
VAT grouping	0	0	0	•	0	0
Cost-sharing arrangements	0	0	0	0	•	0
Proportional deduction	0	0	0	•	0	0

37 VAT provisions related to financial and insurance services can be perceived as complex. For which of the current structural provisions is that correct? Multiple answers possible Option to tax VAT grouping Cost-sharing arrangements Proportional deduction None 38 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: The lack of input tax deduction is detrimental to the financial and insurance sector. It compels the sector to outsource services which are typically provided in-house, thus raising the costs. Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree Do not know 40 To what extent do you agree that the current VAT rules are fit to cover emerging trends in the industry (such as digitalisation)? Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree Do not know 41 The VAT treatment of emerging trends under the current VAT rules for financial and insurance services can be problematic due to unclear definitions for VAT purposes. In connection with which of the emerging trends listed, do you consider this correct? Multiple answers possible Services provided by means of fintech E-money

 Services linked to crypto-assets (such as mining) Payment services Other Do not consider it problematic Do not know
43 The regulatory framework in the financial and insurance sector (e.g. the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MIFID) and the Insurance Distribution Directive (IDD)) has strengthen the role of intermediaries. Do you consider the VAT exemption to be coherent with this development? Yes No Do not know
Possible changes to the current rules
The Commission is intending to prepare a proposal that will seek to modernise the current VAT rules for financial and insurance services. Your answers will feed into the review of these rules.
 44 In your view, which would be the best way to reform the rules on exemption? Multiple answers possible Update definitions of exempt services drawing on the extensive CJEU case law in the field of VAT As regards the definitions, refer to other EU regulations governing the financial and insurance sector Removing the exemption, so that definitions will be no longer needed Other Do not know
45 Please indicate which other way(s).
In our view the reform of the VAT regime should focus on the following points: the list of exempt financial services should be updated in order to include investment advice and intermediation services; the definition of financial services should be updated in order to clarify which financial services are covered by the exemption and which services have the specific and essential character of the exempt services;
- the cost sharing arrangements should be re-introduced for the financial sector.

ne removal of the VAT system. What sould be other effects of such a removal?
e neutrality of the VAT system. What could be other effects of such a removal?
Simplification in the application of the VAT rules for financial and insurance
services
Lower VAT compliance costs
Less distortive effect of the exemption on competition linked to suppliers
from non-EU countries operating in the EU
☑ Higher VAT compliance costs
Higher complexity of VAT rules
None
Other
Please indicate which other effect(s).
The removal of the exemption for financial services would imply an increase of the overall cost of such services for non-taxable persons who could not recover VAT and, consequently, would lead to a drop in the demand of financial services and long-term investment through pension funds and investment funds. Lastly, it may affect the competitiveness of EU financial industry as investors may prefer to invest their money in
investment funds located in countries that do not apply VAT on fund management.
investment funds located in countries that do not apply VAT on fund management. If only fee-based financial services were to be taxed, in relation to which of ther buld it be difficult to determine the taxable amount? ease explain.
If only fee-based financial services were to be taxed, in relation to which of ther buld it be difficult to determine the taxable amount?
If only fee-based financial services were to be taxed, in relation to which of ther buld it be difficult to determine the taxable amount? ease explain. Financial service providers may currently opt for taxation and obtain the right of eduction, but it is up to each Member State to introduce such option. Should ember States keep that discretion?
If only fee-based financial services were to be taxed, in relation to which of ther ould it be difficult to determine the taxable amount? ease explain. Financial service providers may currently opt for taxation and obtain the right of eduction, but it is up to each Member State to introduce such option. Should
If only fee-based financial services were to be taxed, in relation to which of ther ould it be difficult to determine the taxable amount? ease explain. Financial service providers may currently opt for taxation and obtain the right of eduction, but it is up to each Member State to introduce such option. Should ember States keep that discretion? Yes No, it should be available in all Member States
If only fee-based financial services were to be taxed, in relation to which of ther ould it be difficult to determine the taxable amount? Pease explain. Financial service providers may currently opt for taxation and obtain the right of eduction, but it is up to each Member State to introduce such option. Should ember States keep that discretion? Yes No, it should be available in all Member States No opinion Not having a right of deduction when supplying exempt financial and insurance rvices impairs the neutrality of VAT. To what extent would you support or oppose

OpposeStrongly opposeNo opinion
51 If a fixed rate of input tax deduction was introduced, should such a rule remain optional for operators or, alternatively, should it be mandatory? It should be optional It should be mandatory No opinion
52 Should cost-sharing agreements be made available to the financial and insurance services sector? Yes No No opinion
53 In your view, should businesses established in other Member States be allowed to form part of the cost sharing arrangements? Yes No No opinion

58 Which is the most beneficial aspect of establishing VAT groups for providers of financial and insurance services?

	Not beneficial at all	Somewhat detrimental	Neither beneficial not detrimental	Somewhat beneficial	Very beneficial	No opinion
It is optional	0	0	0	0	•	0
Intragroup supplies are out of scope and therefore not taxed	0	0	0	0	•	0
VAT compliance costs are lower for the members of the group as they are pooling them	0	0	•	0	0	0
It is easier to outsource the activity through a single taxable person	0	0	0	0	•	0
VAT grouping increases the competitiveness of the sector by reducing hidden VAT	0	0	0	0	•	0
Other	•	0	0	0	0	0

59 Please indicate which other aspect(s).

One of the detrimental aspects of establishing VAT groups is that cross-border services between a head office and its branches located in another EU member state are relevant for VAT purposes.

60 Which is the most effective way to reform the rules for financial and insurance services in your country?

	Not effective at all	Somewhat ineffective	Neither effective nor ineffective	Somewhat effective	Very effective	No opinion
Remove the exemption and tax financial and insurance services at a standard rate	•	0	0	0	0	0
Remove the exemption and tax financial and insurance services at a reduced rate	0	0	0	0	0	•
Tax only fee-based services at a standard rate	•	0	0	0	0	0
Tax only fee-based services at a reduced rate	•	0	0	0	0	0
Grant businesses the option to apply VAT	0	0	©	0	0	•
Grant businesses the right to constitute a VAT group in every Member State	0	0	0	0	•	0
Make cost-sharing arrangements available to the sector in all Member States	0	0	0	0	•	0
Other	0	0	0	0	•	0

61 Please indicate which other reform(s).

Another possible reform is the introduction of a zero-rating regime for financial services. This measure would allow providers to overcome the issue of irrecoverable hidden VAT.

Where financial and insurance services are taxed, deduction of input VAT is possible.

Further comments

62 If you wish to add further information within the scope of this questionnaire, please feel free to do so here.

2	000 character(s) maximum

63 If you wish to upload a concise document, please do so below. The maximal file size is 1MB.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire, which is the essential input to this open consultation. The document is an optional complement and serves as additional background to better understand your position.

Contact

Contact Form